



U.S. Department of Commerce
Patent and Trademark Office
Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Technology Center 3600
2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA

FAX COVER SHEET

To: Jenny Salizar / Ms. Boulware From: Beth Stephan
Fax: 7139513314 Art Unit: 3635
Serial No.: 09/239,878 Date: 4/2/01
CC: Phone No.: 703 308 2485

☐ Urgent ☐ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☒ Per Your Request

• Comments:

to Jenny Salizar.
per our phone conversations and
proposed after final amendment ~~st~~

Number of Pages 2, including this page.

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This facsimile transmission is an official U.S. Government document that may contain information that is privileged and/or confidential. It is intended only for use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If this document is received in error, you are requested to immediately notify the sender at the above indicated telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Regarding Application Serial No 09/239,878

To Jenny Salizar;

I have reviewed the proposed amendment filed and do not consider it to be allowable over the prior art of record. The addition of the term "integral" does not define that the walls and roof and floor are seamless. It is simply considered to mean that the walls, roof, and floor are formed together securely as a whole.

As seen by the record, Hsu teaches the vault having integral walls, a roof, and a floor, a door and frame within one of the walls, and Trice teaches the use of only one opening. Applicant is reminded that the Guardiani reference teaches a vault having a seamless room having walls, a roof, and a floor. Therefore, the examiner contends that the claimed invention is not allowable over the prior art of record.

Should the applicant file the amendment as proposed it will be entered. However, should applicant file an amendment directed to the seamless nature of the vault the amendment will not be entered as requiring further consideration and maybe further search. Again this seamless feature is not deemed to be allowable in view of the Guardiani reference.

I have fully reviewed the specification regarding this invention searching for features that may be added to make the application allowable yet found none.



Beth Stephan